

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Miscellaneous Special Action**

**M-24-0022**

**RICHARD ALLEN BEYER JR. v. HON. WINGARD/STATE**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: ~~3-Jun-2024~~ Archive on: 13-Aug-2034 (planned)  
 Case Closed: ~~13-Aug-2024~~

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. RICHARD ALLEN BEYER JR., Petitioner**  
 (Litigant Group) RICHARD ALLEN BEYER JR.

• Richard Allen Beyer, Jr. PRO SE

**Side 2. HON. WILLIAM WINGARD, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa, Respondent Judge**

(Litigant Group) HON. WILLIAM WINGARD, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa

• Hon William R Wingard, Maricopa County Superior Court

**Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA, Real Party in Interest**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

• State of Arizona

**CASE STATUS**

Aug 14, 2024....**Decision Rendered**

Aug 13, 2024....**Case Closed**

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
MAR MAR	CR2017-000918-001				

**CASE DECISION**

**13-Aug-2024 ORDER**

\* On June 3, 2024, Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se, filed in this Court a "Request for Determinations Pursuant to Article VI, § 21, Arizona Constitution & Rule 91(e), Arizona Rules of Supreme Court," claiming that the superior court "refused to make [determinati

Filed: 14-Aug-2024 Mandate:

Decision Disposition

***Dismissed Without Prejudice***

**Kathryn King**

**7 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 3-Jun-2024 FILED: Request for Determinations Pursuant to Article VI § 21, Arizona Constitution & Rule 91 (e), Arizona Rules of the Supreme Court (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)
- 17-Jun-2024 FILED: Notice and Submission of Evidence of Judicial Misconduct & Article VI § 21 & Rule 91(e) Violations & Denial of Substantive Rights (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)
- 1-Jul-2024 FILED: Notice of Filing of "Objection to Erroneous... Ruling & Offer of Proof... that the PCR Unit Sent the Wrong Grand Jury Transcript" to Hon. Kevin Wein (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)
- 19-Jul-2024 FILED: Notice of Fraud on the Court and Denial of Procedural Due Process per Rule 32.14 (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Miscellaneous Special Action**

**M-24-0022**

**RICHARD ALLEN BEYER JR. v. HON. WINGARD/STATE**

**7 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

5. 14-Aug-2024 On June 3, 2024, Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se, filed in this Court a "Request for Determinations Pursuant to Article VI, § 21, Arizona Constitution & Rule 91(e), Arizona Rules of Supreme Court," claiming that the superior court "refused to make [determinations], and/or has delayed for 'sixty-days.'" Petitioner also requested that this Court "review the whole of this matter due to the judicial bias that has permeated this matter."
- On June 3, 2024 (docketed by the Clerk on June 4, 2024), the superior court issued an order stating that "Defendant's first Petition for Post-Conviction Relief was denied after the Court determined no colorable claims existed. Defendant asked for reconsideration of that finding, and that request was denied, however, the Court permitted Defendant to file an amended petition." The superior court further noted that in the amended petition "Defendant makes various claims of 'perjury' by Detective Marquez. However, Defendant's claims of 'perjury,' various constitutional violations, ineffective assistance of counsel, prosecutorial misconduct, and actual innocence, are nothing more than speculation and Defendant's own interpretation of the testimony presented by Detective Marquez at trial." Finally, the superior court explained that "[a]s to each of Defendant's claims, the Court has already considered and rejected them. Defendant has previously presented these claims and the State has previously responded to them. The Court has considered each of them and has rejected them. That rejection is affirmed." The superior court then dismissed Petitioner's Amended Petition for Post-Conviction Relief. The superior court also denied three other motions filed by Petitioner.
- On June 17, 2024, Petitioner filed in this Court a "Notice - and - Submission of Evidence of Judicial Misconduct & Article VI § 21 & Rule 91(e) Violations & Denial of Substantive Rights," asserting his dissatisfaction with the superior court's June 3, 2024 ruling.
- On July 1, 2024, Petitioner filed in this Court a "Notice of Filing of 'Objection to Erroneous. . . Ruling & Offer of Proof. . . that the PCR Unit Sent the Wrong Grand Jury Transcript' to Hon. Kevin Wein." In this filing, Petitioner asserts that (1) he is objecting to a June 19, 2024 ruling from the superior court, (2) his post-conviction relief claims are true and not "baseless conspiracy," and (3) he has several additional complaints about the superior court's and superior court Clerk's Office's processing of his Rule 32 proceedings.
- On July 19, 2024, Petitioner filed in this Court a "Notice of Fraud on the Court and Denial of Procedural Due Process per Rule 32.14," making further various allegations against the superior court Clerk's Office and claiming that the "superior court is unconstitutionally refusing to ever acknowledge Petitioner's timely filed motion for rehearing."
- On August 2, 2024 (docketed by the Clerk on August 5, 2024), the superior court issued an order stating that "Defendant has submitted a flurry of filings." In the order, the superior court denied all of Petitioner's motions with certain exceptions: (1) the superior court ordered that Petitioner be provided a redacted copy of the Grand Jury transcript in CR2016-142613-001 "as a one-time courtesy, even though it is not a grand jury transcript from this case"; and (2) the superior court referred several other motions to another division for review and rulings, which that division later denied on August 7, 2024. Based on the foregoing,
- THE COURT FINDS the superior court has considered and ruled on Petitioner's motions, requests, and objections in his Rule 32 proceeding.  
Therefore,
- IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's "Request for Determinations Pursuant to Article VI, § 21, Arizona Constitution & Rule 91(e), Arizona Rules of Supreme Court" is dismissed as moot.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's "Notice - and - Submission of Evidence of Judicial Misconduct & Article VI § 21 & Rule 91(e) Violations & Denial of Substantive Rights," "Notice of Filing of 'Objection to Erroneous. . . Ruling & Offer of Proof. . . that the PCR Unit Sent the Wrong Grand Jury Transcript' to Hon. Kevin Wein," and "Notice of Fraud on the Court and Denial of Procedural Due Process per Rule 32.14" are dismissed without prejudice to Petitioner seeking appropriate relief by filing a timely petition for review in the court of appeals. See Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.16. After a decision by the court of appeals, Rule 32.16(l) allows for the filing of a timely petition for review in this Court.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED closing case number M-24-0022. (Hon. Kathryn H. King)
- 
6. 14-Aug-2024 FILED: Filing Submitted as Evidence & Notice to Court (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)
7. 19-Aug-2024 FILED: Notice & Submission of Evidence of Judicial Bias and Abject Corruption (Petitioner Beyer, Pro Se)